

The Tipping Point – Australia in the Balance over Same Gender Relationships

This article has been written for use by the
Faithchaser's Network

Geoff Rooke
September 2017
The Faithchasers Network

The Tipping Point – Australia in the Balance

Australia is entangled in a social predicament that by its very nature has deep and serious consequential repercussions that will impact nearly every level of our society as we currently know it.

Not since Federation has the nation's morality been placed under a real threat from having its core values undermined in how we traditionally have protected our basic family unit, our youth and our children.

At the turn of the previous century our forefathers saw fit to bring all of the States of Australia under a common government and prescribed what jurisdictional matters the new Federal Government would have. One of these was marriage and hence the Marriage Act was created. And being a nation reflecting the norms of a society befitting a young and enthusiastic country where opportunity was on the doorstep of any citizen who wished to seek their fame and fortune within the lands of this great island in the Pacific, the formation of a Federated Union of States was struck to give Australians these freedoms to pursue their lives in whatever their endeavours were.

So the basis of the new Constitution for a united states of Australia was laid down and has worked particularly well over the last one hundred (or so) years. We can look back on the wisdom of our forefathers in their crafting of the Constitution and see they understood that it was a great and onerous responsibility to make and prosecute laws to govern mankind within their borders and for very good reasons carefully worded the preamble for that document to reflect the intrinsic essence that would undergird every aspect of our united Australia.

And what was the main stay that was clearly the focus of those original lawmakers? It was not of money, it was not of societal class, it was not of power or might – it was a declaration that people of the united states of this new Federated Australia would humbly rely on the blessing of Almighty God that Federation would be workable and fair to every Australian within it.

The wording is:

The preamble

Whereas the people of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, and Tasmania, humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God, have agreed to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth etc.

Without overstating this undergirding confidence that Federation would work and be successful, Australia declared that it was a nation beholden to God for success and well being of those within it. And I might add, that preamble statement is still in force today and a great majority of Australian citizens today rely on our leaders and lawmakers to uphold its tenets.

This is saying that any challenge to our laws as they stand need to be measured against our Constitutional foundation that the citizens of Australia are, “*humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God*”. So what does it mean to, “rely on the blessing of Almighty God”?

Well there is a surprise here for us all. The Constitution has bound the office bearers of our Parliament and our law makers to the extent that if they depart from that which Almighty God will bless, they are in breach of the foundational premise of our Constitution. Remember it is the citizens of Federation who are humbly relying on the blessings from God for their united existence within the Federation. Some might scoff at this. However the Constitution is the founding document of our Federation and it dictates the bonds that our forefathers wrote into it to ensure future generations would not depart from its foundational premise. And very clearly, the concerns of our forefathers were that the fledgling nation would stray from that which they wished for Australia and consequently, through their wisdom, they enshrined the duty of our government to perform in a manner that would attract the blessing of God.

If we come up in time one hundred and seventeen years those bonds have not been severed nor dispensed with. The Parliament is still bound to not depart from doing that which falls into a reliance on the blessings of God. It is the essence of the reliance on God that is now under challenge by a Parliamentary push to change the Constitutional laws in relation to the Marriage Act. The change being sought is to re-word the Marriage Act to accommodate same gender relationships so that marriage no longer will be defined as being between gender specific males and females.

The pent up heat that this proposal is generating has its roots back in the preamble of the Constitution; and to understand why, we need to explore if it is (in the first instance) contrary to the preamble statement. By definition, if God has deemed certain actions by mankind to be so heinous that it is considered to be an abomination before Him, then we can only conclude that if those actions not only become common place in our society, which raises deep toleration issues, but are further enshrined into our laws in total disregard to the preamble statement, then clearly the Parliament is acting contrary to God and is breaching the trust of the people within the Federation who are relying on God for his

blessings. God will not bless that which he considers an abomination – no matter what that may be.

Our universal guide to knowing what God will bless and what He rejects in societal behaviour is clearly laid down in both the Old Testament and also the Gospels from our Common Era. An abomination to God a few thousand years ago is not going to suddenly become a blessing in later times, and this we can see in several places of the canon scripture as we trace, for the sake of our discussion, same gender relationships. There are several passages from canon scripture that go to our case in point and it is very productive to view them all.

Old Testament Law:

Leviticus 18:22 *Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: it is abomination.*

Leviticus 20:13 *If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.*

New Testament Gospels

Romans 1:24-32 *Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves:*

Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen.

for this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature:

And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompense of their error which was meet.

And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient;

Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers,

Backbiters, haters of God, spiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents,

Without understanding, covenant breakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful:

Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them.

1Corinthians 6:9 *Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind,*

1Titus 1:8 – 10 *But we know that the law is good, if a man use it lawfully;*

Knowing this, that the law is not made for a righteous man, but for the lawless and disobedient, for the ungodly and for sinners, for unholy and profane, for murderers of fathers and murderers of mothers, for manslayers,

For whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with mankind, for men-stealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be any other thing that is contrary to sound doctrine;

We can further see in the canon scriptures that homosexuality was rampant in earlier times and is a clear example of a community acting contrary to societal codes that were based upon the blessings of God.

Genesis 19:5 - 8 *And they called unto Lot, and said unto him, Where are the men which came in to thee this night? bring them out unto us, that we may know them.*

And Lot went out at the door unto them, and shut the door after him,

And said, I pray you, brethren, do not so wickedly.

Behold now, I have two daughters which have not known man; let me, I pray you, bring them out unto you, and do ye to them as is good in your eyes: only unto these men do nothing; for therefore came they under the shadow of my roof.

Judges 19:22 - 24 *Now as they were making their hearts merry, behold, the men of the city, certain sons of Belial, beset the house round about, and beat at the door, and spake to the master of the house, the old man, saying, Bring forth the man that came into thine house, that we may know him.*

And the man, the master of the house, went out unto them, and said unto them, Nay, my brethren, nay, I pray you, do not so wickedly; seeing that this man is come into mine house, do not this folly.

Behold, here is my daughter a maiden, and his concubine; them I will bring out now, and humble ye them, and do with them what seemeth good unto you: but unto this man do not so vile a thing.

And without scaremongering, these were communities that had allowed diverse same-gender relationships (and behaviour) which permeated throughout their society. The outcome from those freedoms spoiled the entire community and the practises are still the same - and the end result will not change either. What we are reading about is human behaviour driven by sexual gratification, and not, as is being pushed by a small minority in the current public debate, a relationship issue.

Like many nations throughout the new world, Australia is no different in how its roots are imbedded into a pioneering spirit and then were burnished through the international wars that have wreaked heart-felt tragedies to families and communities who suffered losses in the terrible fog of human destruction. The Australian term for the close relationship between brothers unified in the struggle to survive is “mateship” and up through the recovery years post the Second World War, the Korean War, Vietnam, and to an extent the Middle East, that mateship was the undergirding plinth that men and women used to support themselves both in the theatre of war and back at home maintaining families. And as it continued there was a universal recognition that mateship

formed a necessary bond at nearly all levels of society. These relationships were very close and the bond of friendship enduring many years. And we could ask ourselves if there was any hint or suggestion that these relationships were sexually driven, and perhaps even mentioning this is unimaginably offensive, so we can ask the question, “What changed in relationships from being good mates and friends, to suddenly becoming sexually involved?”

There is a gross misunderstanding about same gender relationships. In Australia it has come to prominence only in recent decades and I would suggest there is a primary driver behind why so many people fall victim to it. We know from our Old and New Testaments that it is not a conforming behavioural pattern for human beings and there are suggestions linking such abhorrent sexual behaviour to other gratuitous forms of pleasure. By not correctly identifying that the behaviour is abnormal, our health professionals are blindsided into not studying the phenomenon because of an aggressive attitude behind a perceived right to freedoms. But do these freedoms really exist in our Federated nation?

There are examples where homosexual relationships were considered to be a threat to general communities, particularly to those who chose to believe in the God of heaven, and I have included these passages from the scriptures because of the linking commonality of the people’s reliance on God.

Deuteronomy 23:17

There shall be no whore of the daughters of Israel, nor a sodomite of the sons of Israel.

1Kings 14:24

And there were also sodomites in the land: and they did according to all the abominations of the nations which the LORD cast out before the children of Israel.

1Kings 15:12

And he took away the sodomites out of the land, and removed all the idols that his fathers had made.

1Kings 22:46

And the remnant of the sodomites, which remained in the days of his father Asa, he took out of the land.

2Kings 23:7

And he brake down the houses of the sodomites, that were by the house of the LORD, where the women wove hangings for the grove.

The above passages reflect that homosexual behaviour was not acceptable to God and therefore persons identified as being a Sodomite were driven out of the

lands. Whilst we might see this type of action as extreme, we should be left in no doubt that God does not tolerate this form of human behaviour.

Having now discerned what God has relayed to mankind about same gender sexual behaviour, we cannot be left in any doubt that he will not bless the nation wherein it is found and more so, wherein the government of the nation sets about to legitimise it. Therefore the people of Australia who are “*humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God*” for the prosperity and success of “their” nation can rightly believe they are being pressed into an illegitimate conformity for social change knowing that God will no longer provide coverage for them. This is a disaster in real terms.

Our lessons from history are pretty clear about this. It is God who blesses the nations and it is God who appoints rulers over them, and where these rulers have seriously erred against the people there are consequences. If we consider this current period of Australian politics where the governing party is promoting changes to the Constitution to allow same gender marriages, and this is supported by the outspoken opposition party, the public whom rely on their Constitution for God’s blessings are in jeopardy of being blatantly disregarded by these feral actions of a non-complying Parliament. It is not inconceivable that this could act as a catalyst for God’s intervention and hence trigger a divine reaction comprising of consequences for wilfully isolating the nation away from God’s over-sight.

It is of particular interest that concurrent with the non-binding plebiscite for changes to the Marriage Act is the revelation of multiple breaches to the citizenship requirements for entering Parliamentary service. This has arisen within both houses of Parliament with a potential to put the current government into a minority and to increase their exposure to the vagaries of the independent members to achieve the numbers to pass their operating bills. From a novice’s perspective I would have thought that if the government was to lose its majority in both the Lower and Upper Houses it could only hope to salvage their right to govern through another round of early elections; obviously in the hope of restoring their status quo. (Maybe even a change of leadership that recognises God’s role in the Federation).

We cannot say that one party is any better than the other in the case of breaching the trust of the people in relation to the Constitutional statement of “*relying on the blessing of Almighty God*”, because both major parties in their current form support the legitimising of same gender relationships by seeking to including them into an institution which has been the exclusive domain of God. Knowing now that God really does deem homosexual behaviour as an abomination we can follow the clear logic that our Parliament is taking the

nation out from under God's abiding oversight and the consequence will be that His blessings will dry up and the people of the Federation will no longer be able to rely on that undergirding divine principle.

The last matter that requires discussion is to address why the homosexual community have chosen the Marriage Act to be their focus in the spearhead of their push for legitimacy. We briefly touched upon this matter, and it is because marriage is an institution before God. Most homosexual people have no belief in God, or for those few that profess they do, have a very warped concept of a deity who they think will give them a blessing for being party to behaviour that he considers an abomination. It is totally irrelevant that these couples have some flesh-driven affection for each other. As noted earlier, it's not the relationship; it is the sexual behaviour that is the problem.

Today our younger generations have fallen away from church affiliations and even any acknowledgment of an abiding God. Using the power of their numbers, they are, in their ignorance, driving a change in the general societal view away from God and into many of the anti-God practises that we have seen in past eras. To say these are healthy, wise and will be beneficial in the long run is not being honest with our history and past experiences of mankind in the world. For some reason we are not inclined to look back on past societies and learn from their mistakes and blunders.

So, are the people who value the undergirding principle of relying on God to bless the prosperity and success of the nation to be ignored and constitutionally discarded? And in their place raise up the Godless of the new generation who demand changes across the board to all of those structures that have seen our nation grow and develop into what is arguably the best country in the world. Don't we see through hindsight the blessing of God outworking in Australia and that his blessing arose from our forefathers who put God at the core of our system of government.

If the government of the day wishes to change the Marriage Act in such a way as to legitimise the behaviour of homosexual couples against the wishes of God - and knowing that it "is" against the will of God, in so doing contravene the reliance by the people of Australia on God as according to the guarantee of the Constitutional preamble. Is the Government therefore constitutionally allowed to make such a change when it is well aware that it is in contravention to the undergirding principle of the Constitution? Can they make a law contradictory to the very instrument that empowers them? Clearly their actions contravene this underlying bond given to the people within the Federation to be able to have a reliance on the blessings from God.

Surely if the Government wishes to no longer have God's blessing on the nation then they should seek to remove him from the Constitution by referendum - in the first instance, and not by subterfuge, and perhaps now we also see why these same proponents of same gender marriages also figure prominently in the Republican Movement of Australia.

It is again not inconceivable that the push for a reformation of the Commonwealth linked Westminster system in favour of a Republican form of government is part of a larger agenda to completely remove our reliance on God. For when the current Constitution is abandoned so also will be the undergirding contract with God and our confidence that we rely on his abiding over sight.

End