

# Are Aliens Really Coming to Attack Earth?

(A Christian Perspective)

This article has been written for use  
by the Faithchaser's Network

## Are Aliens Really Coming to Attack the Earth?

### Prefaced Comment

This is a detailed article that fully covers the headline subject matter. It was never going to be sufficient just to skip over details and leave wide gaps in the foundations for understanding where this notion of aliens came from, and what the truth is about their existence. It is worthwhile taking the time to read the whole text out of which a rich reward of understanding will flow.

### Main Text

How often do we see this in movies? We have been conditioned to think about aliens now for six hundred years through what we might refer to as modern media propagation. Prior to this the mediums for information dissemination were limited in their demographic penetration due to limitations of technology, and before this again thespian performers were very much limited in their sphere of influence by the nature of their confined audiences and also because the subject of aliens on the planet were too extreme for their time, hence this form of comic fiction was not able to gain traction upon the general societal view.

However, there has been a distinct and observable progression in the general societal view in how it has come to accept almost as a norm that extraterrestrial alien creatures exist in the cosmos. This has led down a road of fantasizing alien wars and has pushed society to become Earth centric when thinking that every alien species wants to attack Earth and kill off all of its inhabitants. And whilst this was sort of okay in the eyes of the general societal view because we could justify it all as “science fiction”, however by allowing this to influence any of our life-in-reality thinking, including that of our very receptive and fertile minded children, by default we have condoned this “fiction” into becoming a possibility in reality about which we no longer teach the evils of fiction in degrading society’s view and changing our priorities for how we conduct our lives.

We are now seeing prominent persons promoting this “aliens are going to kill us all” attitude into the wider population suggesting that aliens are real and that if we are to escape their inevitable attack on Earth we need to discover other planets upon which we can exist. The lunacy of this argument is that any migration away from this planet would of course be only localised and therefore would hardly be a concealable event, but in view of the glaring fact that we are struggling to get any men in a small space craft to land on the moon it puts this whole scenario into comedy fiction. Hello!

This juxta-positioning of alien fiction into a reality realm has some nasty consequences for all mankind about which the general societal view is not being told, and the general societal view is not recognising that the proponents of this gross untruth are very distinctly atheists and are using this as a vehicle to push their pitiful barrow over-flowing with “God does not exist” propaganda.

Why on Earth our religious convocations are not challenging this push is beyond my comprehension – if they are indeed truly wedded to serving God. Where are the watchmen on the towers? Who is left to light the signal fires and sound the bells? Apparently not our churches anymore! Oh how the poor and wretched in spirit squirm at the prospect of our future.

General society is not awake to what is happening here. They slumber in the carnality of their beds and romanticise the Hollywood story teller’s tales of inter cosmic conquests and heroism of fictional characters whom save the world from dastardly and more powerful foes. Now contrast this with reality.

The very important and relevant fact about this matter is that there is no evidence, nor even a hint whatsoever, that there is life in any form throughout the universe other than on planet Earth. And there is a very good explanation for this which we shall address shortly. We here on Earth have not even been able to explore all parts of just this planet. We state we went to the moon, but in reporting these events we photo-shopped all of the pictures, included movies made in a man-made moon stage set, of which all were supposedly taken of the journeys ( and not very well done at that must say), and gave us to think that we did it in grand style.

We don't question why the United States Government stated in 2015 that they did not have the capacity nor the technology to escape the radiation of cosmic travel beyond LEO, ie "Hello! Did you really go to the moon 50 years ago and if so, where did you lose the technology that you claim to have had then?" And now its President has said we are going to live on Mars - when we can barely launch a chunk of metal into space. Why would we do this when we can't even look after this home planet that we are already on. I'll say it again. Hello!

Now why I proffer this sarcasm is to emphasise just how much science fiction has influenced the most powerful nations in the world to spend untold mega trillions of dollars on space exploration. This sum of money alone could have resolved the poverty on Earth. So at least we have our priorities right. Right? Well you be the judge. From what was pure story telling we now have a major government policy, not only in North America, but in other significant world governments also diverting public funds toward pandering to this fiction.

So what is the truth? Are we to be slaves to this SciFi anti-God drivel that our "super-smart" professorial science thinkers are now espousing, or are we to earnestly seek the truth. Believe what you want to believe – but be very sure of that which you choose to believe is reality – otherwise you are just chanting the mantra of being in rapture with the science of fiction and the fiction of science reigning over the universe." Good luck on that one!

But now let's take an objective look at this issue. We are saying that the notion of aliens taking over the Earth comes out of fictional comic strips, books and plays dating back beyond the fifteenth century. We have good examples of these , viz: Nicholas of Cusa (1439) On learned ignorance – "solar beings in the area of the sun." Johannes Kepler (1634) Somnium – Alien devils on the moon. Margaret Cavendish (1666) The Blazing World. We can even go back to into the first millennium BC and read the text of Anaximander (C610 – C546 BC) where celestial bodies were said to be deities of other gods and also from the pre-modern second century we could talk about Cosmic Pluralism by the satirist Lucian.

From the modern times, if the nineteenth century is really considered modern, H G Wells (1898) gave us a very evocative script in War of the Worlds and in the opinion of many, it is this book that spawned the modern day acceptance of the notion that cosmic aliens could conceivably attack mankind on Earth. This one book is said to have inspired 6 major feature films, the latest being Steven Spielberg's movie in 2005, a major radio drama that caused a public panic to break out, record albums, a prolific volume of comic strip booklets, many television series, movie and book sequels and parallel scripts by other authors, many computer and console games, but, and here is a devilish twist, it did actually influence scientists to research – and subsequently develop, liquid fuel for rockets. Hmmm.

The devilry of loose minds also gave voice to the fictionalisation of the biblical scriptures which found very fertile grounds in the Book of Genesis and in later times the "abduction" of Elijah by aliens in a fiery chariot. And what such voices will say about the Book of Revelation is just not worth discussing.

And this is not the end of it all. If we look at the doctrines of many of the pseudo-Christian movements and also non-Christian alternative creeds that have sprung up across the world we see manipulations through religious groups that write forms of cosmic pluralism into their doctrinal principles where adherents remain in this physical realm but are raptured to another planet within the universe. Somehow the general societal view has got to swallow all of this and, unaided, see through the cosmic dust (pun) to straighten out their lives before their very personal race crosses the finish line. Is this a call to lift our game? Our reply to this in the best Texan drawl is; "You're right about that partner".

Let's now talk about what the truth is. Let's give the societal view a firm anchor to hold onto so it won't be swept away in the tidal wave of godless obscenity. Let's lift believers up out of the swirling mire of muck and trash talk and strengthen their faith links to the Word of God. This is our time for the flag bearers to raise the standard and array against these minions of fiction and this means we now need to get serious.

Arising out of the Hebrew and Greek texts of the bible was an inevitability that some translations would misrepresent and twist words to satisfy individual bents of belief and personal opinion. I know that much has been written about language translation and the process by which it was undertaken with respect to the Word of God, and putting it into a local context, in mainstream Christendom the translation of the bible into other languages was, in the main, an honourable effort as such was the case of publishing the English versions of which the mainstay would have to be the King James Bible.

The productions of these English bibles for reading by the broad public released the Word of God at the turn of the sixteenth century to protestant England whom had been up to that time under bondage and suppressed by the religion of pontificate Europe. Whether we view King James' decree to publish God's Word in the early 17<sup>th</sup> century as an act of supplication to God or whether we see it as a tool to spiritually weaponise his protestant subjects is a matter for a higher discussion. But from this time forward the free thinking believers have been pursuing the faith that was the legacy of our forebear Christian Apostles.

In very recent times the early books of the bible have shown to be uncannily accurate in reporting how the universe and our local solar system began and what the steps were that saw planet Earth covered in vegetation with animal life and humankind subsequently following in a sequence that has only become known to Science in very recent years. And it is only because Science has recently laid down a road map of what we should have been looking for in the early Hebrew song-line scripts about this matter that Bible scholars have recognised that indeed the Hebrew scripts contained all of this information .

This accuracy in the detail gives a confidence that indeed the information provided in the biblical scripts have an authenticity that cannot be denied. We have solid physical evidence that the Hebrew scripts were authored thousands of years ago and in that era mankind had no inkling of the history of the cosmos what-so-ever. I mention this because there are loud voices that becry the bible as if it were a load of contrived rubbish and these voices have a familiarity about them that ring very hollow from a "bright and shining" bell. So what can we draw from the Hebrew and Greek scripts of the bible?

We can take from the biblical scripts that the universe and the Sun Solar System were made in the course of preparing for a single event with a very specific purpose to be achieved. At this point atheists espousing main-stream Scientific philosophy refuse to discuss such a topic – a pathway that

will lead to their ultimate demise, and so we are left with an abyss between the two streams of belief.

Science has its failings and is not the sole answer to the mysteries of life and the cosmos. They will play around the edges and take one of two pathways. They will either tow- the-line and follow strict research principles that make observations and derive conclusions after being able to replicate that which they are researching. Well done Science. But the other pathway is esoteric in nature and it seems that this branch of science has no such rules of etiquette and makes outrageous claims, such as aliens are everywhere and will kill us off, or they have discovered another Earth some billions of light years distant from us, based solely on some very vague indicators from their excessively costly deep space programs.

Science has not even been able to explain to itself why the universe came into being in the first place, and also by putting an age on a Big Bang event, they fail to be able to answer their own question of what triggered it off. Now surprisingly for some, the biblical account in Genesis does agree that the universe started with a cataclysmic event and also agrees that this occurred very deep in antiquity. So whilst Science struggles with the How and Why questions, these are simply answered by our biblical record.

Might I add here a succinct history of the ancient biblical scripts for those who have some doubt about their authenticity . Some 6,000 years ago Adam and Eve appeared on the Earth. At that time indigenous hominin man had been on the planet some 500,000 years and had remained locked in Stone Age hunter-gatherer (SAHG) primitivism for that entire period, ie no advancement into anything that we would consider modern by our current time – including speech. Within a few hundred years of Adam and Eve’s appearance, mankind took a quantum leap out of SAHG, and as Science observed through its fossil evidences, there was the Mineral Metals Age followed by the Bronze Age and the two Iron Ages which spread across most of the occupied world. Concurrent with these was the rapid development of articulate speech, civil construction and civic development in community, animal husbandry, farming , and the fine arts to name the main ones.

In retrospect, the least recognised would have to be the contribution Adam and Eve had to the development of language. As I said, prior to them appearing on Earth hominin man did not have articulated speech and this was a contributing factor to their lack of development. And not only did Adam and Eve bring articulate speech, they had articulate thought patterns and introduced information retention by using memory through a system of rote learning. Science agrees that articulate language skills were not available to the indigenous tribes due to lack of their genetic and physical disposition that was needed to facilitate it.

We inherited the ancient Hebrew scripts of the bible in written form from the Mosaic times and this translates into Adam and Eve, and subsequent generations down the millenniums, not having communication in the written modality for nearly 2,400 years up to the time of Moses. In this interim period the Hebrew nation retained and passed information by song-lines that had been remembered by rote. Similar to songs today, including poetry and prose forms that we still all hold in memory. The important fact of this information retention down the years is that the very early song-lines accounted information about the creation of the universe that could only have been passed to Adam by God himself and we can now see that the period Adam and Eve spent in the segregated Garden in Eden was a learning period for all of these advanced sciences.

Putting this together, we have on the one hand song-line records that were put into a written form 3,400 years ago and concurrently we have science’s fossil based evidences and other technology based research results. The truth behind how, when, where and why the Earth came forth will lie

within the frame work of these two information structures, and I contend that because the Bible's scholastic base is not dealing with identifying and teaching the truth very well, God has stepped up the ranking of the Earth and Astro based sciences to deconstruct the truth for everyone's benefit of understanding.

So when we discuss such matters as the cosmos and the celestial bodies within it we are merely passing cosmic wind if we do not bring both the biblical record and the science findings together. The account (from God) gives us the broad outline of what events occurred, why they occurred and a lot of detail about how they occurred. This information contains details that science will never uncover because there are no technological means by which they can determine the mind of God. Therefore returning to our theme of aliens, why would we entertain an atheistic diatribe of alien invasions and humans escaping to new Earths billions of light years away when this is so far from the truth – and the realm of the possible - it is beyond comic book fiction and enters a more insidious realm that I hope the general societal view can realise.

Let's get beyond the old English dialect of thou sayest, thee and thine and speak plainly about why the Earth and all of its surrounds came to be. It is apparently no good pussy-footing around the truth because in doing so the power of the words lose their impetuous and a watered down version of it is not palatable. So here we go:

Planet Earth was created for the sole purpose to accommodate mankind as part of a much larger plan by God to have a future world in which he could co-exist with his creation. The process to bring this about was blue printed deep in antiquity and we refer to it now as the Redemption Plan. The Redemption Plan was not always a single pathway of events that would lead to God's desired outcome. Indeed because God wanted to have a close relationship with his created man they needed to be free from self-indulgences and also by their own volition seek to commune in a pure form with their creator. Therefore God needed to have a place where his creation could go through a refining process and in this regard planned three steps to sort out the earnest and faithful from the self indulgent and rebellious. And as you may have surmised, that place was indeed planet Earth.

Now nobody knows the mind of God, and heavens-above I certainly don't, but we can analyse what God has told us through the biblical scripts. So having determined the Plan to create a world where He and man could co-exist and the mechanism to achieve it, we read from what was the old oral texts in Genesis that God created the dimension of deep space and all the cosmic material that would be required to form what we observe in the universe today – including our own planet.

We can then identify in the text that after the initial instant of creation there was an apparent time gap which is consistent with what science is telling us that the Earth is some 10 billion years younger than the rest of the universe, and we are afforded an interesting piece of information that the cosmic dust had no form of consistency and was void. The reason for this time gap was to allow God's natural laws to fulfil their purpose and over the following billions of man-years the cosmic dust took on forms of solar systems, galaxies and comets including a gathering together of water molecules and dust.

Next we read that God addressed the area of where he intended to position the planet Earth within the universe, chiefly because it was a place where there was a gathering of this water in a massive quantity, and the text follows on that God divided this mass of water into two and in the space created in the middle he established this to be Heaven.

God then addressed the waters that were below this space and we see that the coagulation of water and cosmic dust takes on form and planet Earth emerges with dry areas of land surrounded by water. This was a process that took the planet Earth billions of years to come to fruition.

The text then accounts God creating vegetation to come forth from the earth and next is interesting because it accounts for the sun, moon and stars. From a technical point of view the sun and other stars would have been in place for a long period but for them to reach a critical point of achieving nuclear fusion and giving off light, presumably through a densing process as a result of gravity, would have also taken a very long time and therefore the roll out of stars being lit up across the universe would have been over an extended period. And of course with the suns being sources of light there would have been an instant reflection off the localised dead planets around them.

The next process recorded is the creation of water creatures and birds, and Science also agrees with their appearance, followed by cattle and other air breathing, creeping animal forms, then beasts and finally the last of the creation is the placement of hominin man on the planet. Be careful to divide here that hominid forms of erectus were beasts and hominin man is later and of a separate life form.

From this point it would be 500,000 years (according to Science) and that brings the account up to 6,000 years ago and to a specific time that we can see the appearance of Adam and Eve. Remember that at their appearance on Earth the lands were populated by an evolved hominin form of man, ie Cro-Magnon and Neanderthal man (Science cannot decide if they were concurrent or not), and these were, as we have already discussed, primitive Stone Age hunter-gatherers.

Up to this point the Redemption Plan has been implementing the construction of the requisite living environment that would be a stable and safe place for mankind to occupy. Hominin man does have a role to play in the implementation of the Plan and there are DNA traces of this life form still remnant in today's human DNA. *This is a story in its own right and not for here, but I can refer you to the article The Story of Genesis Revisited through Ancient Song Lines that is also available on this site.*

The next phase of the Redemption Plan is directed toward the humankind population. God has put everything else in place that will run all of the Earth systems without requiring further input from either himself or man. *This is another story in its own right and very topical at this time of uncertainty regarding cyclic climate changes.*

Now enter Adam and Eve. Unlike the existing indigenous population that occupied the world prior to 6,000 years ago, Adam and Eve were unique in their human capacity even though they may have had the same, or similar, form. Most would think that they had a form more akin to mankind today and this may be so. But their uniqueness was, apart from their increased brain capacity and associated quantum leap in all forms of community and technological development, they were formed with a very unique living soul.

Genesis 2:7 *And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground  
and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life;  
and man became a living soul.*

Over the centuries the reading of this verse has not released its full message. The verse is very succinct and it can cover enormous periods of time in the space of a comma. A classic case of this is recognising the continuity of the Creation story from Genesis 1:1 to Genesis 1:2. Science has proffered (with evidences) that the time span between the universe coming into being and the forming of the planet Earth is circa 10 billion years and the link to this vast time gap is the single word rendered in the English language "was" in Verse 2. So we need to pay attention to every word in these scripts. Let's break it up into three sections.

*Genesis 2:7 (1) And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground*

We could have a lengthy discussion on this first sector of the verse. We could talk about man being “formed” not “created”, ie the two words are completely different and if confused alter the outcomes of the whole Creation event. Also the “dust” that Adam was formed from is not the same as the “ground” within the Garden of Eden and this is significant. But we can accept that God formed Adam from the dust of the ground.

*Genesis 2:7 (2) and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life;*

The word “breathed” means to “blow” or “puff” it is the straight forward verb in past tense meaning to breathe. It is not a word indicating the passing of the holy spirit into the Adamic body but simply that God “susitated” (ancient meaning) Adam’s body and he became living. Let’s move on.

*Genesis 2:7 (3) and man became a living soul.*

How easy is it to over-read this last phrase. We should not assume that phrase (3) is connected directly to the first actions of God. In the first action, God forms man from dust, the second action is that God breathed life into the man and the third is that Man became a living soul. The key we are looking for here is locked up in the rhetorical construction of the song-line. Phrase (3) is not directly connected in cause and effect to giving man a soul. The first two phrases are subset in direct action and re-action, ie God forms man and brings him to life. What has been missed is that God had formed man complete with the accommodation of a soul. This was done before God breathed the life into him so immediately Adam breathed, he had a functioning soul.

But wait, there’s more. Notice that it is not “just” a soul. As our Scientific experts would tell us, animals have a form of soul. Neanderthal man would have had a form of a soul. Pretty well all animal life forms have a soul. But Adam had a “living” soul. And this is what makes Adam and Eve so completely different to not only hominin man that was still remnant on the planet at that time but also animals. And the reason Science cannot differentiate between hominin man and Adamic Man is that they cannot identify, see, feel or touch any sort of soul whether it is an instinctive (ie unenlivened) soul or a living soul.

A question is also raised about modern man and when a newly born “gets” a soul. The answer is along the same lines as we have discussed with Adam. When a baby takes on life and beathes, the little life comes with built-in living soul.

The difference between an unenlivened soul that operates from an instinctive base and that of a human living soul is interesting and only really becomes apparent when we look over all of the scriptures, Hebrew and Greek, at how the word Soul is used and what human function interacts with it. It is commonly thought that the soul is a form of moderator in the outward functionality of human behaviour. For instance in a Christian context it is thought to be a conduit between the body and the spirit and is an intrinsic functional component in the relationship between man and God.

Certainly the soul of a man is immortal beyond our physical death and it is this “component” of man that the great war between Satan and God has been raging since the Garden of Eden. But why is man’s soul so valuable to either God or Satan? Well firstly if we look at why God put man on a planet in this physical dimension it will direct our view to what God is going to ultimately achieve – and what Satan is trying to stop. The Book of Revelation speaks of a New Jerusalem coming out of the heavens and therein at the very end of days God will bring all of the faithful souls to abide there with him for eternity.

The part we don't often think about is that there are a finite number of souls that will transition through this physical domain, and in part the objective of Satan is to spoil the outcome of having 100% of these souls being rewarded in the New Jerusalem. The scriptures are not abundantly clear about Satan's relationship with God even though we are told that Satan will be put into eternal torment at his eventual end, but along the way he troubles and tempts every man to test if they are obeying God or rebelling against him, just as Eve and Adam were subject to in the Garden of Eden.

Every man woman and child carries within them a living soul. And every person will make a decision before they pass on if they are to go with God to eternal life in the form of their immortal soul or if they are to be cast aside. Our physical bodies die and return to the dust of the ground but it is the rest that we should be concerned about.

It takes a very short walk through scripture using a concordance to clearly see that Body, Soul and Spirit are independent in their idiosyncratic functions of our consciousness. As we have discussed, when we read that Adam became a living soul in Genesis 2:7, we understood this to only mean that Adam simply took on life. We did not read into this ancient song-line that his soul independently took on a life of its own and would influence behaviour and thought processes of a new human race arising from new genes introduced into the gene pool of mankind by this new Adamic Homo Sapien Sapien.

Whereas Hominin Man had a soul it was unenlivened, ie instinctive and perhaps could be likened to that of a new born child or even an animal, Adamic Modern Man had what I am reluctant to refer to as an inner man, but in simplistic terms it best describes this strange behaviour of self-awareness, conscience and psychological inner conflict to name a few.

Often you will see diagrammatic representations of the human make-up represented by three circles and named the Body , the Soul and the Spirit. This conforms to the common model but falls short to explain the whole story of the relationship between the three. One could endeavour to list the traits of each entity and how they can be individually identified however having tried to do this it is an inadequate method to convey any detail succinctly in writing. Remember this is a complex issue that Science and Neuro-research have not been able to understand, none-the-less it is worthy to convey some detail of these inward parts.

The entities we are dealing with are: Mind, Heart , Body, Soul and Spirit. Scripture often refers to the Flesh as an entity within our consciousness, and again, a short walk into the concordance is most illuminating . Flesh is a term that embodies (no pun intended) those components of ourselves that are destined for death, ie our physical body, and those parts that are either pre-regenerate before they are born again or, cannot be regenerated. These are Heart, Mind, natural Soul, natural spirit.

Of course when a person becomes born again through their turn around in life after seeing their rebellion against God as sin, and in believing there is a God (the Adamic revelation) and accepting the fact that Yeshua (Jesus) has taken the punishment for their transgressions, then the pre-regenerate components move to being regenerate. These are Soul and Spirit. However a believing Christian will always have his carnal Flesh and its Mind until it is removed and becomes dust and it is the interaction between Flesh/Mind vs Soul/Spirit that we sense in our consciousness as inner behavioural conflict.

Very clearly, Science is not able to step into the spiritual dimension, and this is perhaps a blessing in itself given their anti-God stance on everything else. But the Hebrew/Christian community can still

benefit from Science's research into aspects of the Cosmos and our planet to fill in the gaps between the song lines pertaining to Genesis.

Mankind from the time of Adam was given a choice as to what direction each and every one of us wished to take – whether we knew what we were doing or not, and depending on our choices our lives took us in certain directions. This free will is a feature of modern man that many do not see it for what it really is. In fact it is, as they say, a mill stone around our necks because we will always have the conflict of interests between what our heart and carnal flesh wants from our lives against on the other hand the direction we really should be taking toward living in eternity.

And herein is the pointy end of why planet Earth was created – it is a very fancy high spec resort for the physical presence of mankind to choose between a life touted by the carnal mind of the Body and Heart into being self-serving, introspective and self-indulgent or, to cease rebelling against God and receive the winning prize of a renewed Soul and Spirit that will facilitate immortality at the end of our life's race.

So I ask the question. Why would God put so much energy into a 13.8 billion year project creating a universe so large that it can't be measured containing an uncountable number of natural laws and quantum mechanical relationships and a very complex environmental platform that we cannot understand? He created life in many forms and waited billions of years before he was comfortable that the this earth and water formed planet would be stable and safe for his created mankind.

This terrestrial planet has been designed to have a stable environment that can sustainably support human, animal, and plant life forms for a designated time: perhaps exceeding thousands of years into the future. It is situated in a specific part of the universe to afford it the right exposure to heat, radiation and the full gamut of electro-magnetic waves, but more importantly and of relevance, its position in relation to all other celestial bodies so as to afford it full protection from errant comet impacts, inter-galactical collisions and any other naturally occurring forms of extinction threat.

We should have some understanding now of the argument Galileo Galilei had with pontificate Rome as to what constituted the centre of the Universe. In fact both were wrong; the answer is that planet Earth is central to God's Redemption Plan to bring man to his salvation. It has little to do with its physical location or its cyclic rotation.

Because planet Earth is here to solely facilitate man to reach his immortal potential, and that all of the other celestial bodies were created by God to give light upon the Earth and to indicate times and seasons, there is no other purpose that we could even glean to have relevance to other supposed cosmological life forms in this whole physical realm – of any description. And let us not forget that space travel for humans beyond just our neighbourhood solar system is deemed impossible due to the distance to anywhere else being as an impassable abyss, a fact which Science is fully cognisant of despite its overt posturing to the contrary.

If the pillar of justification for any other life forms in the universe is that on the percentage bets of the sheer numbers of other galaxies in existence, then Science has clearly blind-sided itself from pursuing a big bang that was comprised of multi-points of singularity across the vastness of the new dimension. A theory they themselves (in certain circles) would like to entertain. We can be secure in the knowledge that God absolutely did not build into His Redemption Plan a model busting bunch of aliens that would come and kill off mankind in transition.

So when one hears of these machinations of aliens we must at the very least laugh at the comedy of the fiction. At every point we should re-educate our children and rail against this deceptive scientific hallucination. But the brutal reality is three fold. It is a well-orchestrated anti-God deception that is already impacting the general societal view because of its unchecked influence on the Generations “X” and “Y” – and consequently their children also. Secondly, whilst being anti-God in nature, it is pre-casting the minds of our new generations into accepting gruesome live-play scenarios that erode the ability of the soul and mind’s consciousness to buffer against, and raises questions why these comic-strip aliens are always so blood-curdlingly ruthless with particular emphasis on violence toward women and children? And thirdly, it is surely a very strange phenomenon that this extreme fiction can be presented to our world’s governments who approve tax payers funds to the order of trillions of dollars trying to find an alien. Who is the butt of this joke?

We can talk about funds being choked off for research into global diseases, we can cite 2.6 billion people in the world currently without access to any form of sanitation, we can cite nearly one billion people in the world who do not have access to running drinking water, we can cite 795 million people that are under-fed in the world and we can cite three billion people that scratch out a mere survival on less than \$2.50 per day. It is grotesque that the nations of the world have not been smart enough to see they have been duped. It is unbelievable that these same nations have not seen through the vested interests of Science to propagate the comic fiction of aliens.

So let me ask the final question. Are the aliens really coming to Planet Earth?

Emphatically NO!!!!

May God open our minds.

Geoffrey Rooke

