

# Why Christians Should Cut Charles Darwin a Bit of Slack

This article has been written for use by the  
Faithchaser's Network

## Why Christians Should Cut Charles Darwin a Bit of Slack

Darwinism has long been viewed by the Christian lobby as an atheistic philosophy of how life commenced on Earth and espouses the theory of biological evolution through natural selection that originated out of chaos from a chance mutating cell of bacterium – and certainly on its published tenets this view does hold to be true in some of its aspects.

It is easy to be critical of Darwin and chief among criticisms of him is that his theories are proven to be wrong because it is said Darwin recanted them on his death-bed. But it is not wise to despatch this early scientist to the dustbin of history. Certainly he was limited in his ability to undertake research and therefore much of his theory has its foundation on the scientist's meagre observations through a very primitive microscope.

Darwin had no means to be able to research the general complexities of biology, let alone the specifics of Gene Science, chromosomes and their DNA chains, blood typing etc etc. So we need to cut him a bit of slack if his thoughts got railroaded as a result of this lack of scientific knowledge and its development.

And why I say this is because in part he was correct in what he was able to observe with his very limited equipment. Where he went off the rails (as far as the Christian tenet is concerned) was essentially only in those areas that he was not able to actually substantiate through observation and clinical testing. Hence his initial observations became captive to unsubstantiated assumptions and his whole train of thought tracked way off the mark into a wilderness where thieves and highwaymen kidnapped him and his science for their own benefit.

The biblical account of Creation and the fossil evidences put forward by the Paleo Sciences have both come to pretty well tell the same story about the origins of the universe and mankind. Their great philosophical divide originates from how and why Creation had a beginning.

The similarities of the biblical account of Creation to the findings from fossil evidence put forward by the Paleo Sciences took on a new face as a result of a recent study undertaken that re-visited the biblical Genesis account and interpreted the early writings as being recorded from ancient song lines that were passed down the generations from 4,000 BC through a system of rote memory retention until the written modality of communication was developed Moses and swung into common use by (circa) the reign of King David over the tribes of the early nation of Israel.

The interpretation of song lines is not a mere exercise in applying a set of agreed hermeneutic rules to a published works. Oh no Siree! Song lines have their own rules and conventions and this is the critical discovery that unlocked the early Genesis chapters and puts understanding behind the events of Creation and in particular how some species came forth from the waters, others came forth from the dirt of the ground, how both hominid man and hominin man fit into the biblical account and more interestingly, the relevance and scriptural reference in the chronology of the biblical account where Adam and Eve came forth.

For instance, indigenous hominin man in his ancient form and make-up existed for a period of 500,000 years until approximately 4,000 BC. During that entire period he was locked in the primitivism of Stone Age Man, and it was only after Adam and Eve came forth on the Earth circa six thousand years ago that mankind went relatively quickly into the Bronze Age followed by the two Iron Ages, and correspondingly the rise of the fine arts, engineering and construction, community and civil service development (to name a few) emanating from the Middle Eastern Region. All of these details were previously not realised – and certainly have not been taught in the Hebrew/Christian/Islamic convocations up to this point in time.

It is worthy of a reader's time to read and consider the *Creation Re-visited Through Ancient Song Lines* study that first looked at the song line construction and its interpretation. Its revelation was a life-changing "Ah-ha" moment and I am sure it will be the same for all true explorers of biblical truth.

The Study is available on the [[www.faithchasers.net](http://www.faithchasers.net)] website Book Shelf.

The Study identified that the advent of the Universe, the Sun Solar system, planet Earth with all of its natural laws of physics and chemistry, grasses and herbs, the animal kingdom (including all living organisms) and mankind were all part of a choreographed plan that presented at each development phase of the planet.

Its plants and herbs and the animal kinds developed in a very specific order according to the foundation medium from which they came forth upon the earth and were divided between the dry earth and the waters. With respect to mankind, this is where the great dividing abyss occurred between the Hebrew/Christian presentment (based on the ancient Tanakh - Bible) and the sciences in relation to the how and from where the advent of mankind as we know him today originated.

It becomes obvious that Darwin himself was not a scholar of the Bible of his King and country – but I hasten to say we are not allowed to judge him either one way or the other if he believed in the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. We can say that if he had examined the Genesis account of Creation with the little knowledge he did have, it would have lifted him up onto a platform of knowledge from which he could have realised from that vantage point that the specie kinds of the plants and animals were not from the same fundamental source. He may have been able to better put into perspective his “laboratory” findings if this one small matter was realised.

That it was not realised is an indicator of a great loss to Darwin himself that very well might have been graced to him as an unction from God if he had taken up the King James Bible and referenced the documented order of the planet’s creation.

But there it is. His full potential was not achieved in this respect and unfortunately the world (particularly the sciences) grabbed what he had written and as we indicated, kidnapped it all and has since botched that part of the world’s history into an unbelievable mishmash of guess work and supposition based solely on that poor old monkey who is still belting that old Sholes and Glidden typewriter and still producing nothing but sheer gibberish.

And the truth for today – out of chaos (have sympathy for that monkey) comes only more chaos. If you are told there is order in chaos then by its own definition (i.e. ipso-facto) it wasn’t chaotic to start with – it was originally just not able to be understood at the time.

Go to it Faithchasers, the world desperately needs its salvation.

Geoffrey Rooke